Kansas Corporation Con Agency		<u>Commission</u>	Ahsan Latif Agency Contact	Cc	(785) 271-3118 ontact Phone Number
82-4-1 K.A.R. Num	aber(s)			⊠ Permanent	☐ Temporary
	-	oposed rule(s) and regulation(s) r r implementing a federally subsider	•	_	as a requirement
⊠ Yes	If yes, continue to fill out the remaining form to be included with the regulation packet submitted in the review process to the Department of Administration and the Attorney General. Budget approval is not required; however, the Division of the Budget will require submission of a copy of the EIS at the end of the review process.			General. Budget	
□ No	regulation or incurred b of the prop	the total annual implementation (s), calculated from the effective more in implementation and cy or passed along to businesses, posed rule and regulation over the regulation (s) (as calculated in S	e date of the rule(sompliance costs the local governmental in initial five-year p	s) and regulation(at are reasonably I units and individ	(s), exceed \$1.0 expected to be duals as a result
	□ Yes	If "Yes," then the agency shall and regulation(s) has been ratification rule(s) and regulation(s) are: 1) for participating in or implement described in K.S.A. 77-416(b)(and regulation(s) adopted pursuance and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continue the regulation packet in the review the Attorney General. The surprise Division of the Budget for apprentice.	med by the Legislatur mandated by the fed enting a federally su 1)(B), and amendment to K.S.A. 77-72 pursuant to K.S.A. to fill out the remain iew process to the D bmitted EIS will be	re with a bill, unled leral government a absidized or assistents thereto; 2) to 22, and amendment A. 2-3710 (Kansoning EIS form to be Department of Adi	ess the proposed as a requirement ted program, as emporary rule(s) ats thereto; or 3) as Agricultural be included with ministration and
	□ No	If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the review Attorney General. The submitt for approval.	process to the Depa	artment of Admin	istration and the
DOB APPROVA	AL STAMP				

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

The proposed amendments to this regulation, which defines the terms used in "Article 4 – Motor Carriers of Persons and Property," includes adopting the 2024 version of the North American standard out-of-service criteria. The proposed amendments are not anticipated to have an economic impact.

Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

The definitions and rules adopted in this regulation allow Kansas to apply federal motor carrier regulations in a manner consistent with other states.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The changes in this regulation have *de minimis* effect on enhancing or restricting business activities and growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;

The changes to this regulation involves the adoption of the most current out of service criteria. The changes do not involve additional compliance costs for implementation.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);

This existing regulation applies to motor carriers in the state of Kansas.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

D.	Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;
	The changes to the regulation allow the Kansas Highway Patrol to use the most recent version of

The changes to the regulation allow the Kansas Highway Patrol to use the most recent version of the out-of-service criteria.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

The change would allow the state to use the most recent version of the out-of-service criteria, giving motor carriers operating in our state a substantially similar experience to what they have in other states. This would minimize the cost and impact on motor carriers.

DOB APPROVAL ST	TAMP

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. *Note:* Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation and compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred and shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0

Costs to Local Governmental Units – \$0

Costs to Individuals – \$0

Total Annual Costs – \$0

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

The regulation does not create any new obligations on motor carriers, rather it adopts a more recent version of the "out-of-service" criteria.

□ Yes	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in
□ No	implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following
	adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred
\boxtimes Not	by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result
Applicable	of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that
	the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving
	legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in
	attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

\$0.00

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

\$0.00

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

G.	If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.
	n/a
Н.	Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.
	The Commission's Transportation Division met with leaders of the Kansas Motor Carrier iation, Kansas Highway Patrol and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration officials in s to discuss changes being made to this regulation.
Section	on IV
Does t	the Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Ye ⊠ No	•
A.	Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
B.	Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
C.	Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).
	Click here to enter agency response.
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
	Click here to enter agency response.
DOB APPR	ROVAL STAMP



Public Hearing Certification

(To be completed after the public hearing)

Agency: Click here to start typing

Agency Contact: Click here to start typing

Phone Number or Email: Click here to start typing

K.A.R. Number(s): Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Date: Select date

Public Hearing Time: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Location: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Attendance: Click here to start typing

Kansas Corp Agency	poration C	Commission	Ahsan Latif Agency Contact	Co	(785) 271-3118 ontact Phone Number
82-4-3b K.A.R. Number	r(s)			⊠ Permanent	☐ Temporary
		posed rule(s) and regulation(s) r implementing a federally subside		_	as a requirement
in aj	the revieus the	inue to fill out the remaining forcew process to the Department of not required; however, the Diviat the end of the review process.	of Administration as sion of the Budget	nd the Attorney (General. Budget
re m in oi	egulation(nillion or neurred by f the prop	he total annual implementation s), calculated from the effectiv more in implementation and co y or passed along to businesses, losed rule and regulation over the regulation(s) (as calculated in S	e date of the rule(sompliance costs that local governmental the initial five-year p	and regulation at are reasonably units and indivi	(s), exceed \$1.0 expected to be duals as a result
		If "Yes," then the agency shall rand regulation(s) has been ratification rule(s) and regulation(s) are: 1) are for participating in or implement described in K.S.A. 77-416(b)(and regulation(s) adopted pursurules and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continue the regulation packet in the revithe Attorney General. The subdivision of the Budget for apprenticular and regulation of the Budget for apprenticular and regulation for the Budget for apprenticular	ed by the Legislatur mandated by the fed nting a federally su 1)(B), and amendment ant to K.S.A. 77-72 pursuant to K.S.A to fill out the remain ew process to the D bmitted EIS will be	re with a bill, unled eral government absidized or assistents thereto; 2) to 2, and amendment A. 2-3710 (Kanstning EIS form to be department of Additional Control of	ess the proposed as a requirement ted program, as emporary rule(s) ats thereto; or 3) as Agricultural be included with ministration and
	□ No	If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the review Attorney General. The submitt for approval.	process to the Depa	rtment of Admin	istration and the
DOB APPROVAL ST	ТАМР				

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

This existing regulation adopts relevant portions of the FMCSA regulations (49 C.F.R. Part 40) governing the procedures for workplace drug and alcohol testing programs relevant to motor carriers. The proposed changes include edits to reflect minor grammar and form corrections and the most recent updates to FMCSA regulations.

Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

The rules adopted in this regulation allow Kansas to apply federal motor carrier regulations in a manner consistent with other states.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The changes in this regulation have *de minimis* effect on enhancing or restricting business activities and growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;

The changes to this regulation involves the adoption of a more current version of the federal regulation. The changes do not involve additional compliance costs for implementation.

- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);
 - This existing regulation applies to motor carriers in the state of Kansas.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The changes to the regulation allow the KCC and the KHP to enforce the most recent version of the federal regulation.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

E.	Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;
	The change would allow the state to use the most recent version of the federal regulation, giving or carriers operating in our state a substantially similar experience to what they have in other states. would minimize the cost and impact on motor carriers.
	•
DOB AP	PROVAL STAMP

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonabl
expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. Note
Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation an
compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred an
shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0

Costs to Local Governmental Units - \$0

Costs to Individuals – \$0

Total Annual Costs – \$0

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Click here to enter agency response.

☐ Yes	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in
□ N.	implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following
□ No	adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred
⊠ Not	by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result
Applicable	of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that
	the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving
	legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in
	attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.
	· -

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

\$0.00

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

\$0.00

DOB APPROVAL STAMP	

G.	If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.
	n/a
Н.	Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.
	The Commission's Transportation Division met with leaders of the Kansas Motor Carrier iation, Kansas Highway Patrol and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration officials in s to discuss changes being made to this regulation.
Section	on IV
Does t	he Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Ye ⊠ No	
A.	Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
В.	Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
C.	Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).
	Click here to enter agency response.
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
	Click here to enter agency response.
DOB APPR	ROVAL STAMP



Public Hearing Certification

(To be completed after the public hearing)

Agency: Click here to start typing

Agency Contact: Click here to start typing

Phone Number or Email: Click here to start typing

K.A.R. Number(s): Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Date: Select date

Public Hearing Time: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Location: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Attendance: Click here to start typing

Kansas C Agency	Corporation	Commission	Ahsan Latif Agency Contact	Co	(785) 271-3118 ontact Phone Number
82-4-3c K.A.R. Nun	nber(s)			⊠ Permanent	☐ Temporary
		oposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or implementing a federally subst	•	_	as a requirement
⊠ Yes	If yes, continue to fill out the remaining form to be included with the regulation packet submitted in the review process to the Department of Administration and the Attorney General. Budget approval is not required; however, the Division of the Budget will require submission of a copy of the EIS at the end of the review process.			General. Budget	
□ No	If no, do the total annual implementation and compliance costs for the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), calculated from the effective date of the rule(s) and regulation(s), exceed \$1.0 million or more in implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result of the proposed rule and regulation over the initial five-year period following adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) (as calculated in Section III, F)?				
	□ Yes	If "Yes," then the agency shall and regulation(s) has been ratif rule(s) and regulation(s) are: 1) for participating in or implement described in K.S.A. 77-416(b) and regulation(s) adopted pursurules and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continue the regulation packet in the revelle Attorney General. The surprision of the Budget for apprentice.	mandated by the federating a federally surface (1)(B), and amendment to K.S.A. 77-72 pursuant to K.S. to fill out the remains process to the Instructed EIS will be	re with a bill, unled leral government absidized or assistents thereto; 2) to 22, and amendment A. 2-3710 (Kansoning EIS form to be Department of Additional Comments of Additional Comments and Comment	ess the proposed as a requirement ted program, as emporary rule(s) ats thereto; or 3) as Agricultural be included with ministration and
	□ No	If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the review Attorney General. The submit for approval.	process to the Depart	artment of Admin	istration and the
DOB APPROVA	AL STAMP				

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

This existing regulation adopts relevant portions of the FMCSA regulations (49 C.F.R. Part 382) governing the procedures for testing for controlled substances and alcohol use. The proposed changes include edits to reflect minor grammar and form corrections and the most recent updates to FMCSA regulations.

Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

The rules adopted in this regulation allow Kansas to apply federal motor carrier regulations in a manner consistent with other states.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The changes in this regulation have *de minimis* effect on enhancing or restricting business activities and growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;

The changes to this regulation involves the adoption of a more current version of the federal regulation. The changes do not involve additional compliance costs for implementation.

- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);
 - This existing regulation applies to motor carriers in the state of Kansas.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The changes to the regulation allow the KCC and the KHP to enforce the most recent version of the federal regulation.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

E.	Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;
	The change would allow the state to use the most recent version of the federal regulation, giving or carriers operating in our state a substantially similar experience to what they have in other states. would minimize the cost and impact on motor carriers.
	•
DOB AP	PROVAL STAMP

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonabl
expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. Note
Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation an
compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred an
shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0

Costs to Local Governmental Units - \$0

Costs to Individuals – \$0

Total Annual Costs – \$0

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Click here to enter agency response.

☐ Yes	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in
	implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following
□ No	adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred
⊠ Not	by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result
Applicable	of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that
	the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving
	legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in
	attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.
	· -

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

\$0.00

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

\$0.00

DOB APPROVAL STAMP	

G.	If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.
	n/a
Н.	Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.
	The Commission's Transportation Division met with leaders of the Kansas Motor Carrier iation, Kansas Highway Patrol and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration officials in s to discuss changes being made to this regulation.
Section	on IV
Does t	he Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Ye ⊠ No	
A.	Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
В.	Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
C.	Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).
	Click here to enter agency response.
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
	Click here to enter agency response.
DOB APPR	ROVAL STAMP



Public Hearing Certification

(To be completed after the public hearing)

Agency: Click here to start typing

Agency Contact: Click here to start typing

Phone Number or Email: Click here to start typing

K.A.R. Number(s): Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Date: Select date

Public Hearing Time: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Location: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Attendance: Click here to start typing

Kansas C Agency	Corporation	Commission	Ahsan Latif Agency Contact	Co	(785) 271-3118 ontact Phone Number
82-4-3i K.A.R. Nun	nber(s)			⊠ Permanent	☐ Temporary
		oposed rule(s) and regulation(s) r implementing a federally subsi	•	_	as a requirement
⊠ Yes	If yes, continue to fill out the remaining form to be included with the regulation packet submitted in the review process to the Department of Administration and the Attorney General. Budget approval is not required; however, the Division of the Budget will require submission of a copy of the EIS at the end of the review process.			General. Budget	
□ No	If no, do the total annual implementation and compliance costs for the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), calculated from the effective date of the rule(s) and regulation(s), exceed \$1.0 million or more in implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result of the proposed rule and regulation over the initial five-year period following adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) (as calculated in Section III, F)?				
	□ Yes	If "Yes," then the agency shall and regulation(s) has been ratif rule(s) and regulation(s) are: 1) for participating in or implement described in K.S.A. 77-416(b) and regulation(s) adopted pursurules and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continue the regulation packet in the revelle Attorney General. The surplication of the Budget for apprentice.	mandated by the federating a federally state (1)(B), and amendment to K.S.A. 77-72 pursuant to K.S. to fill out the remains iew process to the I abmitted EIS will be	re with a bill, unled leral government a absidized or assistents thereto; 2) to 22, and amendment A. 2-3710 (Kans- ning EIS form to be Department of Add	ess the proposed as a requirement ted program, as emporary rule(s) ats thereto; or 3) as Agricultural be included with ministration and
	□ No	If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the review Attorney General. The submit for approval.	process to the Depart	artment of Admin	istration and the
DOB APPROVA	AL STAMP				

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

This existing regulation adopts relevant portions of the FMCSA regulations (49 C.F.R. Part 393) governing the parts and accessories necessary for the safe operation of commercial motor vehicles. The proposed amendments to this regulation include edits to reflect minor grammar and form corrections, deletions and additions required to reflect the most recent updates to FMCSA regulations.

Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

The rules adopted in this regulation allow Kansas to apply federal motor carrier regulations in a manner consistent with other states.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The changes in this regulation have *de minimis* effect on enhancing or restricting business activities and growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;

The changes to this regulation involves the adoption of a more current version of the federal regulation. The changes do not involve additional compliance costs for implementation.

- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);

 This existing regulation applies to motor carriers in the state of Kansas.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The changes to the regulation allow the KCC and the KHP to enforce the most recent version of the federal regulation.

OB APPR	OVAL STA	AMP	

E.	Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;
	The change would allow the state to use the most recent version of the federal regulation, giving or carriers operating in our state a substantially similar experience to what they have in other states. would minimize the cost and impact on motor carriers.
	•
DOB AP	PROVAL STAMP

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably
expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. Note
Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation and
compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred and
shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0

Costs to Local Governmental Units - \$0

Costs to Individuals – \$0

Total Annual Costs – \$0

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Click here to enter agency response.

☐ Yes	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in
□ No	implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following
	adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred
⊠ Not	by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result
Applicable	of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that
	the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving
	legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in
	attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.
	· -

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

\$0.00

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

\$0.00

DOB APPROVAL	L STAMP	

G.	If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.
	n/a
Н.	Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.
	The Commission's Transportation Division met with leaders of the Kansas Motor Carrier iation, Kansas Highway Patrol and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration officials in s to discuss changes being made to this regulation.
Section	on IV
Does t	he Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Ye. ⊠ No	
A.	Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
В.	Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
C.	Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).
	Click here to enter agency response.
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
	Click here to enter agency response.
DOB APPR	ROVAL STAMP



Public Hearing Certification

(To be completed after the public hearing)

Agency: Click here to start typing

Agency Contact: Click here to start typing

Phone Number or Email: Click here to start typing

K.A.R. Number(s): Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Date: Select date

Public Hearing Time: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Location: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Attendance: Click here to start typing

Kansas Agency	Corporati	on Commission	Ahsan Latif Agency Contact	,	/85) 2/1-3118 ontact Phone Number
<u>82-4-30a</u>				□ Permanent	☐ Temporary
K.A.R. Nun	nber(s)				
	-	oposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or implementing a federally sub-	_	_	as a requirement
⊠ Yes	in the rev approval i	itinue to fill out the remaining for iew process to the Department is not required; however, the Di at the end of the review process	of Administration a vision of the Budget	and the Attorney	General. Budget
□ No	regulation million or incurred b of the pro	the total annual implementation (s), calculated from the effect of more in implementation and by or passed along to businesse posed rule and regulation over d regulation(s) (as calculated in	ive date of the rule(compliance costs the s, local governmenta the initial five-year	s) and regulation at are reasonably al units and indivi	(s), exceed \$1.0 y expected to be iduals as a result
	□ Yes	If "Yes," then the agency shall and regulation(s) has been rate rule(s) and regulation(s) are: I for participating in or implent described in K.S.A. 77-416(b) and regulation(s) adopted pure rules and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continuate the regulation packet in the result that the regulation of the Budget for approximation of the Budget for approximation and regulation of the Budget for approximation of the Budget for approximation and regulation of the Budget for approximation and regulation packet in the result of the Budget for approximation of the Budget for approximation and regulation packet in the result of the Budget for approximation of the Budget for approximation and regulation for the Budget for approximation and regulation for the Budget for approximation	ified by the Legislature) mandated by the feature and federally solution (1)(B), and amendment to K.S.A. 77-72 and pursuant to K.S. are to fill out the remains view process to the I submitted EIS will be	ure with a bill, unlideral government ubsidized or assiments thereto; 2) to 22, and amendme A. 2-3710 (Kandining EIS form to Department of Additional EIS form to Department EIS form EIS	ess the proposed as a requirement sted program, as emporary rule(s) nts thereto; or 3) sas Agricultural be included with ministration and
	□ No	If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the revie Attorney General. The submifor approval.	w process to the Dep	artment of Admir	nistration and the
DOB APPROVA	AL STAMP				

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

Motor carriers that operate interstate (beyond the boundaries of Kansas) are required to pay Unified Carrier Registration (UCR) fees. These collected fees are then redistributed to states to pay for enforcement of motor carrier laws and regulations. The fees have reduced year by year recently as more motor carriers began operating and paying into the fund. This past year was the first year in several where the number of motor carriers reduced, resulting in an slight increase. For example, the lowest fee category, which most motor carriers fall into, will see an increase of \$9, from \$37 to \$46. UCR fees are enforced throughout the country, so failure to enforce payment of the updated national fee schedule would result in Kansas-based carriers not registering, making them susceptible to fines for enforcement as they traverse other states.

This existing regulation adopts federal regulations for Unified Carrier Registration that allow the State to collect registration fees under the Federal Unified Carrier Registration Agreement. Kansas is required to adopt by reference the UCR fee schedule to remain in compliance with the Unified Carrier Registration System. Adoption of the latest amendments to 49 C.F.R. Part 367 allows the KCC to adopt the fee schedule into 2025. After several years of fee reductions, this year fees have gone up slightly due to a reduction in the number of active motor carriers.

Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

The adoption of the federal regulation does not exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The change adopts and updates references to most recent version of the federal regulation, allowing the state of Kansas to enforce updates to the Unified Carrier Registration Agreement into 2025. Kansas based motor carriers who travel to other states are required to pay UCR fees and would receive fines at roadside in other states if the fees are unpaid.

DOB APPROVAL	STAMP

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;

The change allows for more clarity of enforcement and compliance with the regulation. Motor carriers will know to pay the correct fee schedule for 2025. KCC Transportation Staff estimate an increase of \$309.756 over the amount collected from Kansas-based carriers in 2024. That amount would vary based on the number of Kansas based carriers that continue to operate or start to operate in 2025.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);

Motor carriers operating in interstate commerce that are based in Kansas.

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The change allows for more clarity of enforcement and compliance with the federal regulations. The KCC will be able to enforce the reduced fee structure into 2025. By ensuring Kansas based motor carriers are properly registered through proper enforcement, such carriers would not receive fines while operating in other states, which are oftentimes four and five times the cost of properly registering. By remaining in compliance with the updated UCR fee schedule, Kansas would continue to receive funds from for safety and enforcement that are used in the state of Kansas.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

The KCC is seeking adoption of the updated fee schedule and would not charge more than the fees level set by the UCR Board for all carriers nationally.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. *Note:* Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation and compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred and shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$309,756

Costs to Local Governmental Units – \$Click here to enter amount.

Costs to Individuals – \$Click here to enter amount.

Total Annual Costs – \$309,756

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Staff took the number of registrants in each fee category for 2024 and applied the new fee amount.

☐ Yes	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in
□ No	implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following
	adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred
\boxtimes Not	by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result
Applicable	of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that
	the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving
	legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in
	attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.
	• •

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

The Commission's Transportation Division met with leaders of the Kansas Motor Carrier Association, Kansas Highway Patrol and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration officials in Kansas to discuss changes being made to this regulation.

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

For most motor carriers operating between 0 and 2 commercial motor vehicles, the registration fee would go from \$37 to \$46 total.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP	

G.	If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.
	n/a
Н.	Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.
	The Commission's Transportation Division met with officials at the Kansas Highway as well as the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration officials in Kansas to discuss es being made to this regulation.
Section	on IV
Does t	he Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Yes ⊠ No	
A.	Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
В.	Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
C.	Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).
	Click here to enter agency response.
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
	Click here to enter agency response.
DOB APPR	POVAL STAMP



Public Hearing Certification

(To be completed after the public hearing)

Agency: Click here to start typing

Agency Contact: Click here to start typing

Phone Number or Email: Click here to start typing

K.A.R. Number(s): Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Date: Select date

Public Hearing Time: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Location: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Attendance: Click here to start typing