Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement (EIS)

Kansas Corporation Commission Agency		Ahsan Latif Agency Contact		785) 271-3118 ontact Phone Number	
82-4-3h K.A.R. Nun	nber(s)			□ Permanent	□ Temporary
	•	oposed rule(s) and regulation(s) nr r implementing a federally subsid	•	•	as a requirement
⊠ Yes	in the revi approval is	tinue to fill out the remaining form lew process to the Department of s not required; however, the Divi- at the end of the review process.	f Administration a sion of the Budget	nd the Attorney	General. Budget
□ No	regulation or incurred b of the prop	the total annual implementation (s), calculated from the effective more in implementation and copy or passed along to businesses, posed rule and regulation over the regulation (s) (as calculated in S	e date of the rule(sompliance costs the local governmentate initial five-year parts)	s) and regulation at are reasonably I units and indivi	(s), exceed \$1.0 expected to be duals as a result
	□ Yes	If "Yes," then the agency shall rand regulation(s) has been ratification rule(s) and regulation(s) are: 1) are for participating in or implement described in K.S.A. 77-416(b)(stand regulation(s) adopted pursuance and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continue the regulation packet in the revithe Attorney General. The subdivision of the Budget for approximation and reputation packet in the revitation of the Budget for approximation.	ed by the Legislatur mandated by the fed nting a federally sull)(B), and amendmant to K.S.A. 77-72 pursuant to K.S to fill out the remainew process to the I bemitted EIS will be	re with a bill, unleral government ubsidized or assistents thereto; 2) to 22, and amendme A. 2-3710 (Kanning EIS form to Department of Ad	ess the proposed as a requirement sted program, as emporary rule(s) nts thereto; or 3) sas Agricultural be included with ministration and
	□ No	If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the review Attorney General. The submitted for approval.	process to the Depa	artment of Admir	nistration and the
DOB APPROVA	IL STAMP				

Section I

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

This existing regulation adopts relevant portions of the FMCSA regulations (49 C.F.R. 392) which establish the minimum duties and procedures for the driving of commercial motor vehicles. The proposed regulation adopts most recent updates to FMCSA regulations.

Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

The adoption of the federal regulation does not exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The change adopts and updates references to most recent version of the federal regulation, allowing the state of Kansas to enforce 49 C.F.R. 392.15, which is newly added to the regulation.

- B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;
 - The Commission does not anticipate an economic impact resulting from the adoption of this proposed regulation.
- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);

This regulation applies to motor carriers operating in Kansas.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

D.	Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;			
	The Commission does not anticipate an economic impact resulting from the adoption of this proposed regulation.			
E.	Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and			

regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

The Commission does not anticipate an economic impact resulting from the adoption of this proposed regulation.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP		

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. *Note:* Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation and compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred and shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$\$0

Costs to Local Governmental Units - \$0

Costs to Individuals – \$0

Total Annual Costs – \$0

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Staff took the number of registrants in each fee category for 2024 and applied the new fee amount.

\square Yes	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in
□ No	implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following
	adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred
\boxtimes Not	by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result
Applicable	of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that
	the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving
	legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in
	attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

The Commission's Transportation Division met with leaders of the Kansas Motor Carrier Association, Kansas Highway Patrol and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration officials in Kansas to discuss changes being made to this regulation.

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

The Commission does not anticipate an economic impact resulting from the adoption of this proposed regulation.

DOB A	APPRO	VAL ST	AMP

G.	If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.
	n/a
Н.	Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.
	The Commission's Transportation Division met with officials at the Kansas Highway as well as the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration officials in Kansas to discuss es being made to this regulation.
Section	on IV
Does 1	the Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Ye ⊠ No	
A.	Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
В.	Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.
	Click here to enter agency response.
C.	Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).
	Click here to enter agency response.
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
	Click here to enter agency response.
DOB APPR	ROVAL STAMP